

# COMP3610/6361 Principles of Programming Languages

## Assignment 3

ver 1.0

---

### Submission Guidelines

- Due time: Oct 12, 2023, 23:59pm (Canberra Time)
  - Submit a pdf via Wattle.
  - Scans of hand-written text are fine, as long as they are readable and neat.
  - Please read and sign the declaration on the last page and attach a copy to your submission.
  - **No late submission, deadline is strict**
- 

### Exercise 1 (Data Structure)

(40 Marks)

Next to products, sums and records we want to extend our language by the data structure of binary trees. Nodes (and leaves) in the tree should carry values of type  $T$ .

The new syntax should be

```
 $E ::= \text{empty}[T]$  // empty tree of type T  
 $\text{fork}[T] E E E$  //tree constructor of the form < node >< tree >< tree >  
 $\text{right}[T] E$  //right subtree  
 $\text{left}[T] E$  //left subtree  
 $\text{content}[T] E$  //content of node  
 $\text{isEmpty}[T] E$  //test for emptiness  
 $\text{isLeaf}[T] E$  //test whether the tree consist of a single node only
```

**Question 1** Define values and types necessary to define binary trees.

**Question 2** Define meaningful semantics (small step) for trees, based on the given syntax. (The meaning of the expressions should be obvious.) You can use a variant of IMP that features error handling (you choose which kind).

**Question 3** Provide typing rules for your semantics.

**Question 4** Argue (or prove) that the progress and preservation theorems hold for your extension, when assuming our while language IMP as base, including booleans.

(Remember to justify your answers.)

**Exercise 2 (Semantic Equivalence)****(35 Marks)**

**Question 5** Prove cases “**if**  $_$  **then**  $E_2$  **else**  $E_3$ ” and “**while**  $E_1$  **do**  $_$ ” of the Congruence theorem for semantic equivalence (Lecture 20/09).

**Question 6** Prove that if  $\Gamma_1 \vdash E_1 : \text{unit}$  and  $\Gamma_2 \vdash E_2 : \text{unit}$  with  $\Gamma_1$  disjoint from  $\Gamma_2$  then, for  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ ,

$$E_1 ; E_2 \simeq_{\Gamma}^{\text{unit}} E_2 ; E_1 .$$

**Question 7** Prove that the programs  $l : \text{int ref} \vdash l := 0 : \text{unit}$  and  $l : \text{int ref} \vdash l := 1 : \text{unit}$  are not contextually equivalent. Hint: find a context that will diverge for one of them, but not for the other.

**Exercise 3 (Denotational Semantics)****(25 Marks)**

**Question 8** Using denotational semantics (as defined in the lecture), prove that **skip** ;  $c$  and  $c$  ; **skip** are equivalent. That means, show

$$\mathcal{C}[\llbracket \text{skip} ; c \rrbracket] = \mathcal{C}[\llbracket c ; \text{skip} \rrbracket] .$$

**Question 9** For the definition of the semantics of while, we used the function

$$\begin{aligned} F(f) = & \{(s, s) \mid (s, \text{false}) \in \mathcal{B}[\llbracket b \rrbracket]\} \cup \\ & \{(s, s') \mid (s, \text{true}) \in \mathcal{B}[\llbracket b \rrbracket] \wedge \\ & \quad \exists s'' . (s, s'') \in \mathcal{C}[\llbracket c \rrbracket] \wedge (s'', s') \in f\} \end{aligned}$$

(see lecture). By Kleene’s fixed point theorem we have that  $\text{fix}(F) = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} F^i(\emptyset)$

- Prove that **while false do**  $c$  is equivalent to **skip**. Hint: prove (by induction) that  $F^i(\emptyset) = \{(s, s)\}$ .
- Calculate  $\mathcal{C}[\llbracket \text{while true do skip} \rrbracket]$  using the same technique.

**Academic Integrity**

I declare that this work upholds the principles of academic integrity, as defined in the University Academic Misconduct Rule; is entirely my own work, with only the exceptions listed; is produced for the purposes of this assessment task and has not been submitted for assessment in any other context, except where authorised in writing by the course convener; gives appropriate acknowledgement of the ideas, scholarship and intellectual property of others insofar as these have been used; in no part involves copying, cheating, collusion, fabrication, plagiarism or recycling.

---

Date

---

Signature