Performance Programming: Theory, Practice and Case Studies

Module III: Optimizing Parallel Programs



Module III: Parallel Optimization

Outline

- Process and Thread Parallel Models
- Multithreaded Programming Models
- Multithreading Support in UNIX OS's
- Tuning Multithreaded Programs
 - True and False Data Sharing
 - Synchronization and Locking
 - Thread Creation, Stacksize Issues
- Support for Compiler Directed Multithreading
- Parallel Program Analysis Tools
- Message Passing Programming
- Summary



125

Parallelism Using Processes & Threads

- Multiple Processes or Heavyweight Process model
 - Relies on the traditional process model used by the UNIX OS
 - Any interprocess communication techniques supported in the OS (shared memory, sockets, file input/output, memory map)
 - Not limited by process memory size
 - Higher overhead associated with process creation and destruction
- Multiple Threads or Lightweight Process (LWP) model
 - This model is based on the concept of a thread, which is defined as an independent flow of control within the program with its own context: stack and a set of registers
 - Conserves system resources as the threads share process data and opened files; Lower overhead since thread creation and destruction can be substantially faster
 - Restricted to the shared address space abstraction
 - Large number of threads can lead to high lock contention
 - Hybrid Models (eg. MPI+OpenMP) possible



Parallelization Models

- Multithreading Models
 - Compiler Auto-Parallelization
 - OpenMP Compiler Directives
 - Explicit Multithreading Using P-threads

Multi-Processing Models

- UNIX fork/exec Model
- MPI Message-Passing Model
- Hybrid Models
 - MPI + OpenMP or MPI + P-threads



OpenMP Compiler Directives

• Pragmas can be used to instruct the compiler what parts of the program should be parallelized

```
'...
!$omp parallel &
!$omp private(i,x), firstprivate(h,n), shared(sum)
!$omp do reduction(+:sum)
do i=1,n ! add points x=(i-0.5)*h x = (i-0.5d0)*h
    sum = sum + 1.0d0/(1.0+x*x)
enddo
!$omp end do
!$omp end parallel
...
The program can be compiled on Solaris as
example% f90 -fast -openmp example.f90 -o example
```

Similar compiler options available on other systems (discussed later)

OMP_NUM_THREADS setting controls number of threads

example% setenv OMP_NUM_THREADS 2



Explicit Multithreading Using P-threads

- Typically more complex than OpenMP
- Irregular, dynamic applications implemented efficiently; allows finer user control on performance.



129

OpenMP and P-threads

- Parallelization of an existing program
 - OpenMP: can be applied incrementally
 - In P-threads approach, typically higher effort
 - Thread-safety and data scoping
 - OpenMP: thread-safety easy as variables can be scoped (shared vs. private)
 - P-threads: need to explicitly privatize variables

Performance

- OpenMP: many performance enhancing features, such as atomic, barrier and flush synchronization primitives. Different loop scheduling schemes also supported
- P-threads: Specialized synchronization primitives need to be build



OpenMP and P-threads (contd.)

Irregular applications

- Currently, no support (in OpenMP specification) for dynamically spawning/joining tasks.
- P-threads APIs are more suitable for this
- Exception and signal handling
 - P-threads have better support for exception and signal handling
 - OpenMP has no support for unstructured control flow/jumps out of parallel constructs. Exception handling not supported directly in the standard

Conclusion

 OpenMP and P-threads approaches are suited to different classes of programs



Explicit Multithreading

- Explicit multi-threading applicable to wide range of applications
 - Compute-intensive (CPU-bound)
 - Client-Server, GUI, Signal-handlers...
- Present discussion focuses on
 - Compute-intensive applications
 - Issues specific to UNIX platforms



Multithreading Models

- Performance issues
 - Problem decomposition and granularity
 - Load balancing
 - Synchronization overhead
 - Data sharing overhead

Multi-threading Models

- Master-Slave model
- Worker-Crew model
- Pipeline model



Master-Slave Model

Master thread

initialization; create N slave threads;

while (work to do) {

create work for slaves; call barrier function;

slaves running,
master performs its
processing, if any;

call barrier and wait for all slaves to arrive;

process results and check
if more work to do;
}

join slave threads; finalize and perform any other calculation

Slave thread

while (work to do) {

call barrier function
and wait for master to create
work;

process its portion of work;

put results into global
memory or passed parameters;

call barrier and wait till
all slaves finish;
}
call thread exit;



Master Slave Model (contd.)

- Only 2 barrier synchronizations required (if slaves are all independent of each other)
- Simplest form: work known in advance and all slaves perform equal chunks. In this case good load balance also
- Often used in compiler parallelization libraries (e.g. Solaris/SPARC OpenMP compiler)



Worker-Crew Model

Main

Initialize task-queue and create worker threads;
wait for threads to exit;

Worker

```
for (;;) {
  t = get task from queue;
  if global-->done flag set then break;
  check if max task queue length reached or
  work finished;
  if true then set global->done flag
  (under protection of mutex lock);
  add new tasks to workpile if any (put task);
  check if t is valid task and perform the task;
```



Worker Crew Model (contd.)

- Can have *static workpile* or *dynamic workpile* (tasks created at runtime)
- Achieves good load balancein irregular computations but has higher lock contention and data sharing overhead
- Example usage: sparse matrix computations, search algorithms, divide and- conquer, loop parallelization [schedule(dynamic) clause in OpenMP]



Pipeline Model

Pipeline

```
if stage = 0 { put work in queue 0; /* 0 <= stage <= NSTAGES */ }
for (;;) {
 if (stage > 0) {
     get work from queue (stage-1); /* wait if no work */
      if (task = EXIT or DONE) {
           if (stage < NSTAGES) put EXIT or DONE in queue (stage+1);
          break and then terminate;
      } /* end of if (task = EXIT or DONE) */
  } /* end of if (stage > 0) */
 Perform the work and update shared data;
  if (stage = 0) {
     check if all work done;
     if all work done {put DONE in queue 0; break and terminate;}
      if an error {put EXIT in queue 0; break and terminate;}
     put work in queue 0; }
 else if (stage > 0 and stage < NSTAGES) {
     put work in queue (stage + 1); /* wait if queue being
                                        accessed */
  } /* end of if (stage = 0) */
```



Multithreading via P-Threads

- Historically, vendor specific multithreading libraries (e.g. UI threads on Solaris)
 - Implementations differed making porting hard
- For UNIX systems, IEEE POSIX 1003.1c standard (1995) was developed
 - Implementation of this standard called P-threads or Posix threads
 - Adds following features to enable parallel programming
 - Thread management functions
 - Synchronization functions
 - Thread Scheduling functions (including realtime)
 - Thread-specific data functions
 - Thread cancellation functions
 - Nearly All UNIX systems offer it (Solaris, AIX, HPUX, IRIX, Linux etc.) in addition to proprietary thread libraries



Multithreading via P-Threads (contd.)

- Libraries
 - libpthread (on most systems)
 - libposix4 (on Solaris for Posix Semaphores)
 - libc (on Solaris has empty stubs to facilitate program development and debugging)



Thread Scheduling Models





Module III: Parallel Optimization

Thread Scheduling Models (contd.)

MxN and Nx1 models

- Commonly referred to as unbound threads (process scope); can migrate within the pool of kernel threads in the process
- Nx1 is just a special case of MxN model and an intermediate step in thread library evolution

• 1x1 model

- Also referred to as bound threads (system scope); user thread sticks to a particular kernel thread for its lifetime
- Traditionally, thread creation and destruction faster in MxN and Nx1 models compared to 1x1 model
- However, recent improvements in OS kernel make the speed difference virtually insignificant
 - Solaris 9 (for example) has switched to 1x1 model



142

Building Threaded Programs

- POSIX APIs developed for C programs (no direct support for Fortran)
- POSIX function names: pthread_
- For POSIX thread programs include: pthread.h

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <pthread.h>
void *thr_foo(void *);
pthread_attr_t t_attr;
pthread_t id;
int param=1;
pthread_attr_init(&t_attr);
pthread_attr_setscope(&t_attr, PTHREAD_SCOPE_SYSTEM);
pthread_attr_setstacksize(&t_attr, 1000);
pthread_create(&id, &t_attr, thr_foo, (void *)&param);
pthread_join(id, NULL);
```



Building Threaded Programs

• Usually several ways to compile and link P-thread programs For example on Solaris any of following will work:

```
example% cc -D_POSIX_C_SOURCE=199506L
example_thread.c -lpthread \
-o example_thread
```

- example% cc -D_REENTRANT example_thread.c -lthread -o example_thread
- example% cc -mt example_thread.c -o example_thread
- On Linux, following can be used:

example% gcc example_thread.c -o example_thread \
 -lpthread



Data Sharing

Data sharing

- True sharing: inevitable in multi-threaded programs
- False sharing: consequence of cache-line granularity based cache coherence

True sharing

- Complete elimination rarely possible
- Leads to synchronization as protected access to shared data required
- Restructure program or change algorithm to decrease

False sharing

- Leads to performance degradation
- Can be completely eliminated in many cases with program restructuring, data structure changes, loop scheduling modifications



False Data Sharing



Multiple copies of the same cache line may exist in the MP system

Cache coherency ensures correct cache line (hence data) is used

Shared lines may cause <u>False</u> sharing of data and performance loss

- Increase in number of cache misses
- Increased miss penalty due to contention for cache line ownership

Performance Programing

146

Module III: Parallel Optimization



Eliminating False sharing

- Restructure program loops and constructs
- Changing data structures
- Data duplication
- Changing loop scheduling parameters



147

 Compiling and running on a Sun Enterprise 4500 example% cc -mt example_false_sharing.c -o example_false_sharing example% example_false_sharing sum = 20838169.391802 RUNTIME (One thread) : 3.2394 Seconds sum = 20838169.391788 RUNTIME (Four threads) : 8.8611 Seconds

- What happens?
 - Cache line containing array s repeatedly invalidated causing increase in memory traffic
 - Measure cache snooping (can be done on Solaris systems using cputrack or cpustat tools)



Examining EC_snoop_inv and EC_snoop_cb events 0.045 4326 3 tick 1816 2.093 4326 1 tick 100107 2.014 4326 2 tick 00 0.045 4326 3 tick 00 ... 3.474 4326 8 tick 00 3.457 4326 1 tick 00 6.014 4326 2 tick 00 3.474 4326 3 tick 00

6.093 4326 4 tick 1023090 1019874 6.093 4326 5 tick 1023104 1019008 6.094 4326 6 tick 1023361 1020391 6.094 4326 7 tick 1023328 1019657 3.474 4326 8 tick 0.0 3.457 4326 1 tick 0.0 7.014 4326 2 tick 0.0 3.474 4326 3 tick 0.0 7.104 4326 4 tick 1024083 1021300 7.104 4326 5 tick 1023743 1019784 7.104 4326 6 tick 1024498 1021366 7.103 4326 7 tick 1024398 1020483



149

 Padding Array s double array[SIZE][4], sum, s[4][8];

1.071	4380	1	tick	320	339
1.011	4380	2	tick	4	3
0.048	4380	3	tick	19	15
2.091	4380	1	tick	194	206
2.011	4380	2	tick	0	0
0.048	4380	3	tick	0	0
• • •					
3.483	4380	1	tick	22	187
4.001	4380	2	tick	0	0
3.501	4380	3	tick	18	250
4.091	4380	4	tick	260	19788
4.091	4380	5	tick	381	2167
4.091	4380	б	tick	275	295
4.091	4380	7	tick	112	162
3.501	4380	8	tick	12	2



150

• •



Module III: Parallel Optimization



- False Sharing in Mutex Locks
 - Avoid static vector of mutexes

```
typedef struct {
  pthread_mutex_t sync_mutex;
  #ifdef PAD
  char pad[PADSZ];
  #endif
  } mutexlock;
  mutexlock syncarr[MAXTHREAD];
```

 PAD_SZ depends on E-cache line/block size and size of structure pthread_mutex_t; some L2-cache line sizes UltraSPARC-II/III: 64-bytes Power-4: 128-bytes Itanium-2: 128-bytes Intel Xeon: 32-bytes Alpha 21264: 64-bytes



Synchronization & Locking

- Threads communicate via shared data
 - Communication is very efficient due to shared address space
 - Shared data access may require synchronization
- POSIX threads provide many Synchronization functions
 - Mutex locks, semaphores, condition vars, thread join
 - Primitives such as barrier can be built using these
- Synchronization overhead
 - Latency of synchronization (cost of executing synchronization function)
 - Waits induced by synchronization (contention to access shared resource)
 - To decrease synchronization overhead important to understand how they are implemented and interact with underlying hardware



- Hardware considerations
 - Atomic memory operations
 - Global data visibility & memory consistency model
- Atomic memory operations
 - An operation that is indivisible. Once started is guaranteed to finish without any interruptions
 - Performed at level of machine instruction but can also correspond to execution of a statement in a high level language.



- Global data visibility: effects of instruction reordering and load (store) buffers as specified by the memory consistency model implemented in processor architecture
 - Memory model: A set of rules that constrains order of completion of loads and stores with respect to each other
 - Cache coherency relates to consistency of same memory locations in different caches
 - Memory consistency relates to consistent ordering of memory operations to different location.



- Atomic Instructions
 - SPARC V9
 - Atomic Test and Set (ldstub)
 - Compare and Swap (cas): SPARC V9 (more powerful, can be used to implement wait-free synchronization)
 - Atomic Exchange (swap)
 - IA-64 (Semaphore instructions)
 - Exchange/Compare and Exchange (cmpxchg)
 - Fetch and Add Immediate (fetchadd)



- Memory Ordering Instructions
 - SPARC V9
 - Store Barrier (stbar)
 - Memory Barrier (membar): can to used to order loads and stores with respect to each other
 - Current UltraSPARC/Solaris systems implement Total Store Order (TSO) memory model
 - IA-64
 - Sync/Serialize (sync, srlz)
 - Fence (mf, inva, mwb)



 Pseudo code for Mutex lock (using SPARC ldstub instruction)
 Lock_function

membar #StoreLoad ! assume TSO model

! load 1 byte from location

! Wake up and rety to acquire lock

```
! 'adress' & store 1's into it.
retry: ldstub address, register
cmp register, 0 ! check if loaded value is 0
beq gotit ! if value is 0, we got the lock
call delay ! if value is 1, then lock is held
! by someone else. Go to sleep
! before retrying
```

jmp retry gotit: return

```
Unlock_function
membar #StoreStore ! Ensure all prior stores completed
stub 0, address ! Store 0 in `address' byte
```



Module III: Parallel Optimization

Locking Under contention: cas vs. mutex_lock for fetch_and_add counter = counter + 1 ; /(fetch & add primitive) */



Times on E10000, 64-cpus, 400MHz US-II, Solaris 8



159

Module III: Parallel Optimization
Synchronization & Locking (contd.)

- Contention for shared lock scales faster than linear with number of threads
- Avoid small and frequent critical sections
 - Merge them into larger critical sections
 - Consider using fast atomic synchronization wherever applicable
 - Difficult to prescribe a recipe for optimum size of critical section
- Avoid use of "Self-Synchronization" /* THE FOLLOWING SHOULD NEVER BE DONE */ volatile int mylock = 0; while (mylock != 0) ; mylock = 1;execute critical region code...... mylock = 0;



Thread Creation Issues

- Common questions related to thread creation and management
 - How many threads to create?
 - Whether to use bound or unbound threads?
 - Whether to create threads statically or dynamically?
 - Whether to use pool of threads?
- For compute intensive applications
 - Number of threads less than number of processors on system
 - Use bound threads
 - If amount of work known in advance use static thread creation otherwise use dynamic thread creation (i.e. create threads as needed)
 - If threads perform small amount of work, then overhead of creation/joining could be high and pool of threads should be considered. Used often in Parallelizing Compilers.



Thread Creation Issues (contd.)

- Example extracted from a discrete event simulation
 - small amount of work (extracted from event queues)
 - thread create/join
 - thread pool using Semaphores
- Thread create/join real 1:06.560 user 47.560 Sys 1:13.837
- Thread pool using Semaphores real 44.471 user 31.086 sys 1:30.778

(Time on 12-cpu Enterprise 4500, Solaris 8)



162

Module III: Parallel Optimization

Thread Stack Size

- Changing thread stack size
 - Many threads created/destroyed in the program: smaller stacksize if allowed leads to more efficient memory usage.
 - Requirement of system libraries (most require at least 8 KB stack size) and function call depth.
 - Increasing thread stack size: impact on virtual memory usage of process and overhead
- Default Stack Size
 - Varies (on Solaris 9: 1 MB for 32-bit, 2 MB for 64-bit)



Thread stack size (contd.)



30 threads on 12-cpu E4500 (400MHz US-II, Solaris 8) Effect of stacksize on system scope thread creation/join



Performance Programing

164

Module III: Parallel Optimization

Compiler Parallelization

Automatic Parallelization

- Based on automatic dependence and alias analysis compiler restructures the program for parallel processing with no user intervention
- Can parallelize "well-behaved" loops effectively but not very flexible in handling more complex cases
- Directive-based Parallelization
 - Proprietary vendor-specific directives
 - OpenMP directives (Industry standard, portable)
 - Directives can substantially enhance applicability and efficiency of compiler parallelization model



Compiler Parallelization (contd.)

- Porting from vendor-specific directives to OpenMP
 - Vendor directives usually have a one-to-one mapping to OpenMP (most vendors provide porting documentation; E.g. Sun ONE Studio Compiler Collection 7 OpenMP API User's Guide)
 - Some things to watch for
 - All variables need to be explicitly scoped in OpenMP (vendor directives may assume different defaults for shared and private variables)
 - Since some vendor directives do not have one-to-one mapping to OpenMP directives, unpredictable effects may occur if OpenMP and vendor-specific directives are mixed in the same program
 - OpenMP provides a powerful and rich parallelization model. It might be possible to get better performance by exploiting OpenMP features not available in vendor directive set



Compiler Parallelization (contd.)

Porting from vendor-specific directives to OpenMP (an example)

Sun Fortran Directive C\$ PAR DOALL [qualifiers] c\$par doserial

c\$par taskcommon block[,...] SCHEDTYPE(FACTORING(m)) SCHEDTYPE(GSS(m)) Equivalent OpenMP Directive !\$omp parallel do [qualifiers] No exact equivalent, Can use !\$omp master ... loop... !\$omp end master !\$omp threadprivate (/block/[,...]) No OpenMP Equivalent schedule(guided, m) default m is 1

SGI Fortran Directive c\$doacross c\$par parallel c\$par pdo Equivalent OpenMP Directive !\$omp parallel do !\$omp parallel !\$omp do



167

Compiler Parallelization Library



Slave threads join master; it terminates program

168

Module III: Parallel Optimization

Compiler Parallelization Library (contd.)

- This approach is used in many compilers (eg. Sun compiler, KAI guide library)
- Pool of threads using master-slave model
- Slave threads are created once, when the first parallel region is encountered, and then used in all parallel regions of the program.
- The body of the parallel construct is then extracted and placed in a separate subroutine called an outlined function.
- The compiler then inserts a call to a driver routine executed by the master thread in place of the original parallel region



Compiler Parallelization (contd.)

- Automatic parallelization options in many compilers for Fortran (77, 90/95), C and C++ programs
 - Sun compilers: -xautopar, -xparallel
 - HP compilers: +Oparallel, +Oautopar
 - Compaq compilers: -hpf
 - SGI MIPSpro compilers: -apo (or -pca, -pfa)
- Some caveats about automatic parallelization
 - Usually outermost loop in a loop-nest auto-parallelized
 - Usually additional options required (eg. For Sun compilers -xO3 required and -xdepend, -xrestrict, -xalias_level help)
 - Parallelization usually disabled/suppressed in following cases:
 - Function call or I/O in loop
 - Conditional exit from loop (goto statement)
 - Loop iterations change the variable aliased through a pointer or an EQUIVALENCE statement.
 - Loops whose iterations update the same scalar variable do not get parallelized. Exception: reduction (a computation that transforms a vector into a scalar); E.g. For Sun compilers option -xreduction required.

OpenMP Compilation Options

Compiler	Fortran	C/C++
Sun ONE SCC7 (Solaris/SPARC)	-openmp	-xopenmp
HP Compiler (HPUX11i/Itanium-2)	+Oopenmp	+Oopenmp
HP Compiler (HPUX11i/PA-RISC)	+Oopenmp	+Oopenmp
Compaq Compiler (TRU64/Alpha 21264)	-omp	-omp
SGI MIPSpro 7.3 (IRIX/MIPS R14000)	-mp	-mp



Runtime Settings

- Typically, environment variables to control number of threads, thread stacksize, spin-wait behavior of threads, thread placement etc.
- Number of threads
 - OMP_NUM_THREADS envar used (part of OpenMP standard)
 - OMP_DYNAMIC (to enable/disable dynamic thread adjustment)
- Stacksize of worker/slave threads
 - Sun compiler: STACKSIZE envar setenv STACKSIZE 8192 (8MB stacksize)
 Default is 2MB (32-bit) and 4MB (64-bit)
 - HP compiler (HPUX/PA-RISC): CPS_STACK_SIZE



Runtime Settings

- Spin wait timeout: controls behavior of threads in idle portions of the program
 - Sun compiler: by default threads spin-wait. To set the wait time to 10ms

setenv SUNW_MP_THR_IDLE 10ms

- HP compiler: MP_IDLE_THREAD_WAIT envar can be used to achieve similar effect
- Compaq compiler: MP_SPIN_COUNT and MP_YIELD_COUNT envars can be used for similar effect
- Thread placement: can have big impact on machines with non-uniform memory access features
 - Sun Solaris: MT_BIND_PROCESSOR envar
 - SGI IRIX: _DSM_PLACEMENT envar



Stair Stepping Effect

Parallel speedup can be limited (and non-linear) if number of it-erations in a parallelized loop is low compared to number of threads



OpenMP Runtime Scheduling Type Setting

Example: Triangular by Square Matrix Multiplication





Module III: Parallel Optimization

OpenMP Synchronization Issues

- The OpenMP specification includes critical, atomic, flush, and barrier directives for synchronization purposes
- Additionally, there are functions for user-inserted locks, in a manner similar to mutex locks in P-threads library
- When using critical directive, it is recommended to associate it with a name since all unnamed critical sections map to the same name
- OpenMP flush directive guarantees the atomicity and memory consistency. In order to avoid false sharing, the variables that are flushed should preferably be placed on different cache lines by padding or not declaring them contiguously



OpenMP Synchronization Issues (contd.)

- OpenMP ordered directive might be useful in a routine where threads perform I/O or other library calls requiring sequential order, but its use in a parallelizable do loop should be avoided as much as possible
- Wherever the logic of the program allows, the nowait qualifier should be used to eliminate the implicit barrier at the end of the parallel do loop that is required by the standard



Memory Bandwidth Requirement

- As more threads are added in the parallel program, additional simultaneous requests for memory accesses (reads or writes) are generated, increasing the traffic on the system interconnect
- Overall speedup depends on the system being able to satisfy the independent memory requests generated by the different processors; A parallel application's bandwidth requirement might exceed what the hardware can deliver and the application will no longer scale, even if more processors are used to run it.



Memory Bandwidth Requirement (contd.)

Number of	Measured STREAM	Total STREAM
CPUs	Copy B/W (GB/s)	Copy B/W (GB/s)
<u>1</u>	<u>0.29</u>	<u>0.44</u>
<u>2</u>	<u>0.57</u>	<u>0.85</u>
<u>4</u>	<u>0.99</u>	<u>1.49</u>
<u>6</u>	<u>1.25</u>	<u>1.88</u>
<u>8</u>	<u>1.44</u>	<u>2.16</u>
<u>10</u>	<u>1.53</u>	<u>2.3</u>
<u>12</u>	1.53	2.3

Results on an Enterprise 4500 system (400MHz US-II, Solaris 8)



Analysis Tools for OpenMP Programs

• Difficult to create tools for parallel programs but now many effective tools (from different vendors) exist

• Correctness checking tools

- OpenMP programs have difficult correctness problems: variable scoping, data storage conflicts, work distribution, data races and synchronization conflicts
- Some good tools: Intel-KAI Assure (runtime checks), Forge Explorer (from now defunct APR), static verification(-XlistMP) capability in Sun Fortran-90 compiler

• Performance analysis tools

- PC-Sampling based (statistical) tools such as SGI perfex, Sun Performance Analyzer and others
- Instrumentation based tools (such as gprof, prof). These should be avoided as intrusive and inaccurate results



Example Sun Performance Analyzer (1 of 3)

• Tool can be used to obtain profile data for OpenMP and multithreaded programs (no recompilation/relinking required)

				Performance /	Analy	/zer [test.1.er]		· 🗆
File Vie	w <u>T</u> imelin	e <u>H</u> elp						
0 A	DM	P N	<u>ш</u> вія ч	≞∢₽≥₽₽€€	Find	Text:		
PCs Ti	meline Lea	akList St	tatistics	Experiments		Summary Event	Legend	
Funct	ions (Callers-C	allees	Source Lines Disassemb	ly		Data for Selected Obje	ct:
🚊 User	🖧 User	帛	.	Name		Name:	\$dlA27.factk full	
CPU ₹ (sec.)	CPU (sec.)	Wall (sec.)	Wall (sec.)			PC Address:	2:0×00004DA0	
7.835	7.835	2.061	2.061	<total></total>	-	Size:	872	
3.843	7.675	0.290	1.921	_\$dlA27.factk_full_		Source File:	factk_full.f	
2.932	2.932	1.631	1.631	mt_EndOfTask_Barrier_		Object File:	(unknown)	
0.901	0.901	ο.	ο.	mt_flush_		Load Object:	<vf></vf>	
0.120	0.120	ο.	ο.	mt_WaitForWork_		Manaled Name:		
0.030	0.030	0.030	0.030	backs_full_		Aliases:		
0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010	normk_full_				
o.	1.961	ο.	2.001	MAIN_			Process Times (sec.) / C	ounts
o.	7.675	ο.	1.921	_\$plB20.factk_full_			🖳 Exclusive	🖧 Inclusive
o.	ο.	ο.	0.060	f90_init		<u>U</u> ser CPU	3.843 (49.0%)	7.675 (98.0%)
o.	ο.	ο.	0.060	f90_initio_a		<u>₩</u> all	0.290 (14.1%)	1.921 (93.2%)
o.	1.921	ο.	1.921	mt_MasterFunction_		Total LWP	3.853 (48.5%)	7.685 (96.7%)
o.	5.874	ο.	ο.	mt_SlaveFunction_		System CPU	: 0.010 (16.7%)	0.010 (16.7%)
o.	4.743	ο.	0.290	mt_WorkSharing_		Wa <u>i</u> t CPU	: 0. (0.%)	0. (0.%)
ο.	4.743	ο.	0.290	mt_runLoop_int_		User Lock	: 0. (0.%)	0. (0.%)
0.	7.675	ο.	1.921	mt_run_my_job_		Text Page Fault	. (0. %)	0. (0. %)
0.	1.961	0.	2.061	_start		Data Page Fault	. 0. (0.%)	0. (0. %)
0.	5.874	ο.	0.	_thread_start		⊂ Other Wait	0, (0, %)	0. (0. %)
0.	1.921	ο.	1.921	factk_full_				
0.	1.961	0.	2.061	main				
0.	0.	0.060	0.060	mailoc				
0.	0.	0.020	0.020	reack_full				
0.	υ.	0.020	0.020	saver_ruii_				
					-			
						•		

4 thread run on SunFire 68K. Tool shows time spent in OpenMP library functions (__mt_xxx functions). Time in __mt_EndofTask_Barrier_ indicates load-imbalance Performance Programing Module III: Parallel Optimization



Example Sun Performance Analyzer (2 of 3)

• Can obtain per-thread data to locate load-imbalance (use er_print command line version to see the 4-thread run data from previous slide)

```
(er_print) thread_list
             Exp Sel Total
             === === =====
                1 1-6
                            6
              (er print) thread select 1
             Exp Sel Total
              === === =====
                   1
                            6
               1
              (er print) functions
             Functions sorted by metric: Exclusive User CPU Time
             Excl.
                        Incl.
                                   Name
             User CPU User CPU
              sec.
                        sec.
             1,961
                       1.961
                                   <Total>
             1.631
                      1.631
                                   mt EndOfTask Barrier
                                                                   Load Imbalance
             0.290
                       1.921
                                   $d1A27.factk full
             (er_print) thread_select 4
             Exp Sel Total
              === === =====
               1
                  4
                            6
              (er print) functions
             Functions sorted by metric: Exclusive User CPU Time
             Excl.
                        Incl.
                                   Name
             User CPU User CPU
              sec.
                        sec.
             1.961
                       1.961
                                   <Total>
                                   mt EndOfTask Barrier
             0.981
                       0.981
             0.831
                       1.921
                                   _$d1A27.factk_full_
             (er print)
Performance Programing
                                   Module III: Parallel Optimization
```

Example Sun Performance Analyzer (3 of 3)

Timeline viewer: shows events recorded in the experiment as a function of time (on a per-thread or per-MPI process basis) alongwith the callstack of the program

Performance Analyzer [tes	t.1.er] 🗾 🔽			
File View Timeline Help				
0 A D M P N 前 前 ⊞ 🖷 <10 🕸 🕾 🛱 🔍 🔩 🗧 Find_ Text: 🔽 🛬				
PCs Timeline LeakList Statistics Experiments Summary Event Legend				
Functions Callers-Callees Source Lines Disassembly	Data for Current Timeline Selection			
ic5 1.0 1.5 2.0	Experiment Name: test.l.er			
	Event Type: Profiling Data			
	Leaf Function:mt_EndOfTask			
	Timestamp (sec.): 0.910959			
9 <u>-</u>	LWP: 1			
	CPU: (unknown)			
<u>о</u>	Duration (msec.): 10.007			
	Micro State: User CPU			
	Call Stack for Selected Event			
	mt_EndOfTask_Barrier_ + 0x00000088			
	_\$d1A27.factk_full_ + 0x00000000			
	_\$p1B20.tactk_tull_ + 0x00000180			
	mt_MasterFunction_ + 0x00000036C			
	main + 0x00000200			
	start + 0x00000000			

Example Intel-KAI Guideview Tool (1 of 2)

- Graphical tool to analyzer performance of OpenMP programs
 - Link the openmp program with guidestats library guide{f77,f90,cc} \$(FLAGS) *.0 -Wgstats
 - Run the program, guide.gvs (ascii file) generated, can be visualized with guideview tool guideview *.gvs
 - Tool can be used to analyze files from multiple runs
 - Sequential time, sequential overhead, synch. time, lock time, barrier time, imbalance time, parallel overhead and parallel time for each thread can be seen
 - Times can be viewed as parallel region times or thread times
 - Times can be viewed per each parallel region or omp do loop on a line by line and function by function basis in the program and can be compared side-by-side for the different runs (1P, 2P, 4P etc.)
 - Times can also be viewed per thread



Example Intel-KAI Guideview Tool (2 of 2)

Overall times for 1,2,4 threads



Region specific thread times (for a hot region)



Message Passing Programs

- Performance issues in message passing programs
 - Organization of computing tasks
 - Distribution of workload
 - These two affect: implementation complexity, communication and synchronization requirements, ratio of computation to communication, load balance
- Communication requirements characterized by
 - Volume (size and number of messages)
 - Frequency of message passing (temporal aspect of communication)
 - Communication pattern (nearest neighbor vs. global)
 - Tolerance (ability to overlap communication with computation)
 - Organization of Computing tasks: SPMD, MPMD, Hybrid



Message Passing Programs (contd.)

- Two approaches commonly used for workload distribution
 - Functional decomposition: different functions/tasks performed in parallel
 - Data decomposition: parallel tasks perform same computational function but operate on different data portions
- Functional decomposition -
 - May involve spawning new tasks dynamically or attaching to pre-existing but separate tasks. Dynamic process management feature of MPI-2 standard facilitates this feature.
- Data decomposition
 - More common approach in message passing scientific HPC applications
 - Static data decomposition: workload of each task fixed during computation
 - Dynamic data decomposition: workload dynamically varies at runtime -Static data decomposition: more common in message passing scientific HPC applications
 - Static data decomposition equivalent to domain decomposition in many applications such as arising in fluid dynamics, elasticity etc.



187

Message Passing Programs (contd.)

- Static Data Decomposition
 - Structured mesh based applications
 - Unstructured mesh based applications
- Partitioning in structured mesh/grid based applications
 - Parallel by point
 - Parallel by line
 - Parallel by plane
- Partitioning in unstructured mesh/grid based applications
 - Methods are based on graph theoretic approaches
 - Problem is NP-complete and heuristic methods have been developed
 - RCB: Recursive Coordinate Bisection
 - RGB: Recursive Graph Bisection
 - RSB: Recursive Spectral Bisection
 - MLND: Multi-Level Nested Dissection



188

MPI

- MPI (Message Passing Interface) has become the *de-facto* standard for message passing programming
 - Provides a library of routines for interprocess communication APIs support Fortran, C and C++. MPI 2.0 is the current standard (http://www.mpi-forum.org)
 - Is the only reasonable way to program a cluster
- MPI features
 - Communication: point-to-point, collective, synchronous, blocking, non-blocking, buffered
 - Synchronization: barrier, wait, waitall
 - Parallel I/O
 - One-sided communication
 - Dynamic process management (spawning, connection)



MPI (contd.)

- MPI Implementations
 - Public-domain (eg. MPICH from ANL)
 - Proprietary vendor-optimized (eg. Sun, IBM, HP, SGI others)
 - Recommend using vendor optimized implementations as highly tuned for underlying platform
- We will discuss some features of Sun MPI implementation as an example



Example Sun MPI Implementation

- Highly optimized MPI implementation with support for C, C++, Fortran 77 and Fortran 90 (full MPI 1.1 std. + MPI-2 std. subset support)
 - Thread-safety
 - Support for the Cluster Runtime Environment (CRE), Load Sharing Facility (LSF), Sun Grid Engine (SGE) and other job-scheduling software tools
 - Support for the Prism performance and debugging environment
 - Optimized collective communication for SMP and clusters of SMPs
 - Numerous environment variables for fine-tuning communication performance
 - Support for MPI-2 features (MPI I/O, dynamic process spawn, limited support of one-sided communication)
 - Process yielding and coscheduling to decrease performance degradation in over-subscribed runs.



Example Sun MPI Implementation (contd.)

- Building and running programs using Sun MPI
 - Default location is /opt/SUNWhpc
 - commands in /opt/SUNWhpc/bin
 - libraries in /opt/SUNWhpc/lib
 - include files in /opt/SUNWhpc/include
 - MPI library:
 - 32-bit version in /opt/SUNWhpc/lib/libmpi
 - 64-bit version in sparcv9 sub-dir
 - thread-safe version: libmpi_mt
 - Fortran programs: mpif.h include file
 - C & C++ programs: mpi.h include file
 - Use mpcc, mpf77, mpf90 and mpCC drivers for compilation and linking. These pass right options to the compiler driver and linker example% mpcc -fast example.c -lmpi example% mpf90 -fast example.c -xarch=v9 -lmpi_mt

Running MPI executables example% mprun -np 4 sample_mpi_job example% bsub -n 4 sample_mpi_job



Example Sun MPI Implementation (contd.)

- Sun MPI environment variables: many envars to tune message passing programs
 - These envars can be categorized into six general areas: informational, general performance tuning, point-to-point performance tuning, numerics, tuning rendezvous message exchange protocol, miscellaneous

```
• Some recommended settings
example% setenv MPI_PRINTENV 1
example% setenv MPI_SHOW_ERRORS 1
example% setenv MPI_SPIN 1
example% setenv MPI_PROCBIND 1
example% setenv MPI_SHM_CYCLESTART
```

```
example% setenv MPI_POLLALL 0
```

```
example% setenv MPI_SHM_SBPOOLSIZE 200
example% setenv MPI_SHM_NUMPOSTBOX 256
example% setenv MPI_EAGERONLY 0 #us
```

#print MPI envar values

#Show errors in MPI lib
#Spin during waits in MPI calls
#Bind MPI process to cpu
' 0x7ffffff

#Suppress cyclic msg. Passing #Suppress polling for sys. buffers #Increase msg buffer size 20000000

#use rendezvous protocol



Summary

- Choice of parallel model important and should be done at initial stages
- UNIX OS's provides extensive MT support (multiple thread library implementations). Performance issues to consider
 - Data sharing overhead: true sharing inevitable, false sharing can be eliminated
 - Synchronization overhead: latency of synchronization and impact of contention vs. size of critical section.
 - Use spin locks and fast atomics based primitives where applicable
 - Thread creation: pool of threads over thread create/join
 - Thread stack size: impact on program virtual memory usage and overhead in thread creation/management



Summary (contd.)

- Extensive compiler parallelization support (OpenMP for Fortran and C)
 - loop scheduling type, synchronization and variable scoping are important for performance in OpenMP programs
- Performance analysis tools can be used to generate performance analysis and profile data of multi-threaded applications
- Extensive support for MPI programming
 - Use optimized vendor MPI implementations

