

COMP3610/6361 Principles of Programming Languages

Peter Höfner

Oct 09, 2023



Section 15

Denotational Semantics



Operational Semantics (Reminder)

- describe how to evaluate programs
- · a valid program is interpreted as sequences of steps
- small-step semantics
 - individual steps of a computation
 - more rules (compared to big-step)
 - ▶ allows to reason about non-terminating programs, concurrency, ...
- big-step semantics
 - overall results of the executions 'divide-and-conquer manner'
 - can be seen as relations
 - fewer rules, simpler proofs
 - no non-terminating behaviour
- allow non-determinism



Operational vs Denotational

An operational semantics is like an interpreter

 $\langle E \, , \, s \rangle \longrightarrow \langle E' \, , \, s' \rangle$ and $\langle E \, , \, s \rangle \Downarrow \langle v \, , \, s' \rangle$

A denotational semantics is like a compiler.

A *denotational semantics* defines what a program means as a (partial) function:

 $\mathcal{C}[\![\texttt{com}]\!] \in \texttt{Store} \rightharpoonup \texttt{Store}$

Allows the use of 'standard' mathematics



Big Picture





IMP – Syntax (aexp and bexp)

Booleans Integers (Values) Locations	$b \in \mathbb{B}$ $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ $l \in \mathbb{L} = \{l, l_0, l_1, l_2, \dots\}$
Operations	aop ::= +
Expressions	
ae	$xp ::= n \mid !l \mid aexp \; aop \; aexp$
be	$xp ::= b \mid bexp \land bexp \mid aexp \geq aexp$
cc	$pm ::= l := aexp \mid$
	if bexp then com else com
	skip com ; com
	while bexp do com



Semantic Domains

$$\mathcal{C}\llbracket c \rrbracket \in \mathsf{Store} \to \mathsf{Store} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{C}\llbracket _ \rrbracket_{-} : \mathsf{com} \to \mathsf{Store} \to \mathsf{Store} \\ \mathcal{A}\llbracket a \rrbracket \in \mathsf{Store} \to \mathsf{int} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{A}\llbracket _ \rrbracket_{-} : \mathsf{aexp} \to \mathsf{Store} \to \mathsf{int} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{B}[\![b]\!] \in \mathsf{Store} \rightharpoonup \mathsf{bool} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{B}[\![_]\!]_{-} : \mathsf{bexp} \to \mathsf{Store} \rightharpoonup \mathsf{bool}$$

Convention: (Partial) Functions are defined point-wise. C[-] is the denotation function.



Partial Functions

Remember that partial functions can be represented as sets.

- $\mathcal{C}[\![c]\!]$ can be described as a set
- the equation C[[c]] = S, for a set S gives the definition for command c
- $\mathcal{C}[\![c]\!](s)$ is a store



Denotational Semantics for IMP

Arithmetic Expressions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}[\![\underline{n}]\!] &= \{(s,n)\} \\ \\ \mathcal{A}[\![l]\!] &= \{(s,s(l)) \mid l \in \mathsf{dom}(s)\} \\ \\ \mathcal{A}[\![a_1 \pm a_2]\!] &= \{(s,n) \mid (s,n_1) \in \mathcal{A}[\![a_1]\!] \land (s,n_2) \in \mathcal{A}[\![a_2]\!] \land n = n_1 + n_2\} \end{aligned}$$

 \underline{n} is syntactical, n semantical value.



Denotational Semantics for IMP

Boolean Expressions

$$\mathcal{B}[\![\underline{\mathtt{true}}]\!] = \{(s, \mathtt{true})\}$$

 $\mathcal{B}[\![\underline{\mathtt{false}}]\!] = \{(s, \mathtt{false})\}$

 $\mathcal{B}[\![b_1 \wedge b_2]\!] = \{(s,b) \mid (s,b') \in \mathcal{B}[\![b_1]\!] \wedge (s,b'') \in \mathcal{B}[\![b_2]\!] \wedge (b = b' \wedge b'')\}$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{B}\llbracket a_1 \geqq a_2 \rrbracket = \{(s,\texttt{true}) \mid (s,n_1) \in \mathcal{A}\llbracket a_1 \rrbracket \land (s,n_2) \in \mathcal{A}\llbracket a_2 \rrbracket \land n_1 \ge n_2 \} \cup \\ \{(s,\texttt{false}) \mid (s,n_1) \in \mathcal{A}\llbracket a_1 \rrbracket \land (s,n_2) \in \mathcal{A}\llbracket a_2 \rrbracket \land n_1 < n_2 \} \end{split}$$



Denotational Semantics for IMP Arithmetic and Boolean Expressions in Function-Style

 $\mathcal{A}\llbracket n \rrbracket(s) = n$ $\mathcal{A}\llbracket !l \rrbracket(s) = s(l) \quad \text{if } l \in \mathsf{dom}(s)$ $\mathcal{A}[\![a_1 + a_2]\!](s) = \mathcal{A}[\![a_1]\!](s) + \mathcal{A}[\![a_2]\!](s)$ $\mathcal{B}[\underline{\texttt{true}}](s) = \texttt{true}$ $\mathcal{B}[[false]](s) = false$ $\mathcal{B}\llbracket a_1 \wedge a_2 \rrbracket(s) = \mathcal{B}\llbracket b_1 \rrbracket(s) \wedge \mathcal{B}\llbracket b_2 \rrbracket(s)$ $\mathcal{B}\llbracket b_1 \geqq a_2 \rrbracket(s) = \begin{cases} \texttt{true} & \text{if } \mathcal{A}\llbracket a_1 \rrbracket(s) \ge \mathcal{A}\llbracket a_2 \rrbracket(s) \\ \texttt{false} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$



Denotational Semantics for IMP

Commands

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{C}[\![\mathbf{skip}]\!] &= \{(s,s)\} \\ \mathcal{C}[\![l:=a]\!] &= \{(s,s+\{l\mapsto n\}) \mid (s,n) \in \mathcal{A}[\![a]\!]\} \\ \mathcal{C}[\![c_1:c_2]\!] &= \{(s,s'') \mid \exists s'. \ (s,s') \in \mathcal{C}[\![c_1]\!] \land (s',s'') \in \mathcal{C}[\![c_2]\!]\} \\ \mathcal{C}[\![\mathbf{if} \ b \ \mathbf{then} \ c_1 \ \mathbf{else} \ c_2]\!] &= \{(s,s') \mid (s,\mathtt{true}) \in \mathcal{B}[\![b]\!] \land (s,s') \in \mathcal{C}[\![c_1]\!]\} \cup \\ &= \{(s,s') \mid (s,\mathtt{false}) \in \mathcal{B}[\![b]\!] \land (s,s') \in \mathcal{C}[\![c_2]\!]\} \end{split}$$



Denotational Semantics for IMP Commands in Function-Style

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{C}[\![\mathbf{skip}]\!](s) &= s \\ \mathcal{C}[\![l := a]\!](s) &= s + \{l \mapsto (\mathcal{A}[\![a]\!](s))\} \\ \mathcal{C}[\![c_1 : c_2]\!] &= \mathcal{C}[\![c_2]\!] \circ \mathcal{C}[\![c_1]\!] \\ (\text{or } \mathcal{C}[\![c_1 : c_2]\!](s) &= \mathcal{C}[\![c_2]\!](\mathcal{C}[\![c_1]\!](s))) \end{split}$$
 $\\ \mathcal{C}[\![\mathbf{if} \ b \ \mathbf{then} \ c_1 \ \mathbf{else} \ c_2]\!](s) &= \begin{cases} \mathcal{C}[\![c_1]\!](s) & \text{if } \mathcal{B}[\![b]\!](s) = \mathtt{true} \\ \mathcal{C}[\![c_2]\!](s) & \text{if } \mathcal{B}[\![b]\!](s) = \mathtt{false} \end{cases}$

denotational semantics is often compositional



Denotational Semantics for IMP

Commands (cont'd)

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{C}[\![\texttt{while } b \text{ do } c]\!] &= \{(s,s) \mid (s,\texttt{false}) \in \mathcal{B}[\![b]\!]\} \cup \\ &\{(s,s') \mid (s,\texttt{true}) \in \mathcal{B}[\![b]\!] \land \\ &\exists s''. \ (s,s'') \in \mathcal{C}[\![c]\!] \land (s'',s') \in \mathcal{C}[\![\texttt{while } b \text{ do } c]\!]\} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{C}[\![\textbf{while} \ b \ \textbf{do} \ c]\!](s) &= \mathcal{C}[\![\textbf{if} \ b \ \textbf{then} \ c \ ; \ (\textbf{while} \ b \ \textbf{do} \ c) \ \textbf{else} \ \textbf{skip}]\!](s) \\ &= \begin{cases} \mathcal{C}[\![\textbf{while} \ b \ \textbf{do} \ c]\!](\mathcal{C}[\![c]\!](s)) & \text{if} \ \mathcal{B}[\![b]\!](s) = \texttt{true} \\ \mathcal{C}[\![\textbf{skip}]\!](s) & \text{if} \ \mathcal{B}[\![b]\!](s) = \texttt{false} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Problem: this is not a function definition; it is a recursive equation, we require its solution



Recursive Equations – Example

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ f(x-1) + 2x - 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Question: What function(s) satisfy this equation? Answer: $f(x) = x^2$



Recursive Equations – Example II

$$g(x) = g(x) + 1$$

Question: What function(s) satisfy this equation? Answer: none



Recursive Equations – Example III

$$h(x) = 4 \cdot h\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)$$

Question: What function(s) satisfy this equation? Answer: multiple



Solving Recursive Equations

Build a solution by approximation (interpret functions as sets)

 $f_0 = \emptyset$ $f_1 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0\\ f_0(x-1) + 2x - 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ $= \{(0,0)\}$ $f_2 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0\\ f_1(x-1) + 2x - 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ $= \{(0,0), (1,1)\}$ $f_3 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0\\ f_2(x-1) + 2x - 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ $= \{(0,0), (1,1), (2,4)\}$



Solving Recursive Equations

Model this process as higher-order function F that takes the approximation f_k as input and returns the next approximation.

$$F: (\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}) \to (\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N})$$

where

$$(F(f))(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0\\ f(x-1) + 2x - 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Iterate till a fixed point is reached (f = F(f))



Fixed Point

Definition

Given a function $F : A \to A$, $a \in A$ is a *fixed point* of F if F(a) = a. **Notation:** Write a = fix(F) to indicate that a is a fixed point of F.

Idea: Compute fixed points iteratively, starting from the completely undefined function. The fixed point is the limit of this process:

$$f = \operatorname{fix} (F)$$

= $f_0 \cup f_1 \cup f_2 \cup \dots$
= $\emptyset \cup F(\emptyset) \cup F(F(\emptyset)) \cup \dots$
= $\bigcup_{i \ge 0}^{\infty} F^i(\emptyset)$



Denotational Semantics for while

 $\mathcal{C}[\![\textbf{while } b \textbf{ do } c]\!] = \mathsf{fix}\left(F\right)$

where

$$\begin{split} F(f) =& \{(s,s) \mid (s,\texttt{false}) \in \mathcal{B}[\![b]\!] \} \cup \\ & \{(s,s') \mid (s,\texttt{true}) \in \mathcal{B}[\![b]\!] \land \\ & \exists s''. \ (s,s'') \in \mathcal{C}[\![c]\!] \land (s'',s') \in f \} \end{split}$$



Denotational Semantics – Example

 $\mathcal{C}[\![\text{while } !l \geq 0 \text{ do } m := !l + !m ; l := !l + (-1)]\!]$

$$\begin{split} f_{0} &= \emptyset \\ f_{1} &= \begin{cases} s & \text{if } ! l < 0 \\ \text{undefined otherwise} \end{cases} \\ f_{2} &= \begin{cases} s & \text{if } ! l < 0 \\ s + \{l \mapsto -1, m \mapsto s(m)\} & \text{if } ! l = 0 \\ \text{undefined otherwise} \end{cases} \\ f_{3} &= \begin{cases} s & \text{if } ! l < 0 \\ s + \{l \mapsto -1, m \mapsto 1 + s(m)\} & \text{if } ! l = 1 \\ \text{undefined otherwise} \end{cases} \\ f_{4} &= \begin{cases} s & \text{if } ! l < 0 \\ s + \{l \mapsto -1, m \mapsto 1 + s(m)\} & \text{if } ! l = 1 \\ s + \{l \mapsto -1, m \mapsto 1 + s(m)\} & \text{if } ! l = 0 \\ s + \{l \mapsto -1, m \mapsto 1 + s(m)\} & \text{if } ! l = 1 \\ s + \{l \mapsto -1, m \mapsto 3 + s(m)\} & \text{if } ! l = 1 \\ s + \{l \mapsto -1, m \mapsto 3 + s(m)\} & \text{if } ! l = 2 \\ \text{undefined otherwise} \end{cases} \end{split}$$



Fixed Points

- Why does (fix F) have a solution?
- What if there are several solutions? (which should we take)



Fixed Point Theory

Definition (sub preserving)

A function *F* preserves suprema if for every chain $X_1 \subseteq X_2 \subseteq \ldots$

$$F(\bigcup_i X_i) = \bigcup_i F(X_i) .$$

Lemma

Every suprema-preserving function F is monotone increasing.

$$X \subseteq Y \Longrightarrow F(X) \subseteq F(Y)$$

(works for arbitrary partially ordered sets)



Kleene's fixed point theorem

Theorem

Let F be a suprema-preserving function. The least fixed point of F exists and is equal to

 $\bigcup_{i\geq 0} F^i(\emptyset)$



$\mathcal{C}[\![\mathbf{while} \ b \ \mathbf{do} \ c]\!]$

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{C}[\![\textbf{while } b \ \textbf{do } c]\!](s) \\ &= \mathsf{fix}\left(F\right) \\ &= \begin{cases} \mathcal{C}[\![c]\!]^k(s) & \text{if } k \geq 0 \text{ such that } \mathcal{B}[\![b]\!](\mathcal{C}[\![c]\!]^k(s)) = \texttt{false} \\ & \text{and } \mathcal{B}[\![b]\!](\mathcal{C}[\![c]\!]^i(s)) = \texttt{true for all } 0 \leq i < k \\ & \text{undefined} & \text{if } \mathcal{B}[\![b]\!](\mathcal{C}[\![c]\!]^i(s)) = \texttt{true for all } i \geq 0 \end{cases} \end{split}$$

This may be what you would have expected, but now it is grounded on well-known mathematics





- Show that **skip** ; *c* and *c* ; **skip** are equivalent.
- What does equivalent mean in the context of denotational semantics?
- Show that $(c_1; c_2); c_3$ is equivalent to $c_1; (c_2; c_3)$.